

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

Declaration of Paul R. Milgrom

1. My name is Paul R. Milgrom. I am the Shirley and Leonard Ely, Jr. Professor of Humanities and Sciences and Professor of Economics at Stanford University in Stanford, California, 94305.

2. I received an A.B. degree in Mathematics from the University of Michigan and an M.S. in Statistics and a Ph.D. in Business from Stanford University. My academic specialty is microeconomic theory, with an emphasis on how institutions affect economic performance. From 1990-1994, I was coeditor of the largest circulation academic journal in the economics profession — the *American Economic Review*. I have also served on the editorial boards of several other economics journals. I am the author of more than sixty books and articles and have been the recipient of numerous awards and honors, including Fellowships in the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and the Econometric Society. I have also received Fellowship grants from the John Simon Guggenheim Foundation, the Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, and the Center for Advanced Studies in Jerusalem.

3. I have devoted considerable time and attention to telecommunications issues, especially ones concerning PCS. Since November of 1993, I have filed eight affidavits or statements with the Commission regarding PCS-related matters, including two that were coauthored with my colleague, Stanford Professor Robert Wilson. I acted as an adviser to Pacific Telesis Mobile Systems (PTMS) during the recently completed auction #4 of broadband PCS licenses. In 1994, I filed an affidavit in connection with the motion to terminate the MFJ. In 1984, when the MFJ precipitated a restructuring of certain contracts between AT&T and the Southern New England

Telephone Company (SNET), I advised SNET about the renegotiation of its contracts.

4. My other experience with regulatory matters is diverse. It includes testimony given to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission concerning pricing on the Trans-Alaska pipeline, testimony at trial concerning the economics of the insurance contracting, and written testimony concerning environmental regulation filed with the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

5. I have been asked by Pacific Telesis Mobile Systems to comment on the likely costs of any significant delay in issuing PCS licenses from auction #4, in which PBMS was the high bidder for the B-band licenses covering Los Angeles and San Francisco. This is to include the costs suffered by the bidders on account of the delays, as well as losses in consumer surplus that might result.

Summary

6. In summary, my opinion is that the losses associated with any delay in issuing the licenses would be very large. Losses in consumer surplus resulting from a delay in the introduction of PCS services amount to at least \$650 million per year of delay, or nearly \$55 million per month, even under the most conservative scenarios. More realistic scenarios can entail losses more than four times as high: \$2.7 billion per year or \$225 million per month. Losses to the potential A and B licensees are harder to estimate, especially since some of the bidders would also benefit in their roles as cellular service providers. Focusing solely on the lost profits from PCS services, ignoring the partially offsetting gains enjoyed by cellular providers, reasonable estimates lead to numbers as high as \$770 million per year, or \$64 million per month. Taking a different approach to the problem by valuing the total change in consumer plus producer surplus, including the large reduction in profits of the cellular providers resulting from increased competition, leads to estimated costs of delay of \$567 million per year, or more than \$47 million per month.

Details of Computations

7. From the perspective of a winning bidder like Pacific Telesis, which has no cellular interests, one cost of a delay in issuing PCS licenses is the delay in the onset of cash flows, which results in a reduction in the discounted value of those cash flows. This cost can be calculated by assuming that all the expenditures and revenues from the business are delayed for some period of time. For the companies that bid on the 99 broadband PCS licenses and tailored their business plans around them, the \$7 billion bid for the licenses represents a lower bound for their estimated value of entering the PCS business. The real discount rates used by investors in evaluating the risky investments in PCS appear to be in the range of 6% to 10%.¹ Consequently, even if the companies anticipated that their investments would return no more than the cost of capital, the annual real interest costs of the delay in the profit stream would be in the range of \$460-770 million.² This cost will not be born entirely by the bidders: part of it will be born by the Treasury in the form of delayed payments for PCS licenses.

8. The preceding estimate assumes conservatively that the highest bidders had bid to their limits to acquire their licenses. Such is hardly ever the case in an auction. In auction #4, the strong correlation between the level of competition on a license and the license price per unit of population indicates that the more lightly contested licenses incorporated substantial profits for the highest bidders, and the other licenses may have included such profits as well. The economic cost of delay includes a return on the entire present value of future cash flows, which would result in a larger estimate than the one just given.

¹CS First Boston's report *PCS: A Critical Piece of the Communications Puzzle* (February 21, 1995) uses a cost of capital of 13% for its discounted cash flow analysis of PCS licenses. Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette's report *The Wireless Communications Industry* (Winter, 1994-1995) uses costs of capital from 12% to 15% for its discounted cash flows.

²For this calculation, I assume that the companies have already raised and committed the funds for the licenses and invest them temporarily during the delay. I further assume that investors do not regard the delay in issuing licenses as reducing the overall risk of the investment in a way that reduces the applicable cost of capital.

9. A second cost of the delay arises from the fact that potential licensees have already begun to hire staff, lease cell sites, and order equipment in the expectation that the licenses would be issued and service begun. To the extent that delaying the issuance of the A and B licenses has the effect of delaying service, revenues from the PCS service would be delayed, but some of the expenditures would not be. Data on these expenditures, including a division of them between current expenses and investments whose value would be only partially lost, are not available to me. However, Pacific Telesis Mobile Service representatives have reported to me that PTMS's current expenditures on the wireless business are approximately \$8 million per month, plus capital costs. If operations of similar magnitude across the nation are idled by this delay, the associated costs would be very substantial.

10. A third source of costs to the PCS providers is the likelihood that they will lose market share to existing cellular telephone licensees. This is similar to the effect that the petitioners claim would result in injury in the event that the auction of the C block licenses is delayed.³ The loss of PCS profits resulting from delaying the issuance of the A and B licenses would be greater than the benefits enjoyed by the minority licensees, because PCS providers as a group would lose market share to cellular providers on account of such a delay.

11. The largest cost of any delay in instituting PCS services would be borne by consumers in the wireless industry, for whom access to PCS services would be delayed and who would pay higher prices for cellular services due to the absence of PCS competition. Estimates of the loss of consumer surplus from delayed entry depends on assumptions about the nature of competition and the effectiveness of regulation in the industry, as well as on forecasts of demand. However, even the most rough-and-ready estimates show that the cost is very large. Currently, cellular service is provided by what is essentially a duopoly. The introduction of two new competitors into the wireless services market that led to price reductions of just 10% with no consequent expansion in demand would still increase consumer surplus by an amount equal

³On page 17, they argue: "By getting to market first the A and B licensees will be able to develop a substantial customer based before the C block licenses are issued."

to 10% of the existing industry revenues. As of the summer of 1994, annualized industry revenues amounted to approximately \$6.5 billion,⁴ leading to an estimated gain for consumers of \$650 million per year.

12. The preceding estimate, however, is probably too low. Because even conservative assumptions about demand can lead to very large estimates of the loss of consumer surplus from delayed entry, I have constructed my estimates using conservative assumptions about demand. First, despite the persistent growth of demand recently experienced and forecast by almost every pundit, I assume that the scale of the wireless market is fixed at the level attained in the summer of 1994. Second, despite estimates which show that demand for wireless services has tended to be quite inelastic, I assume that wireless service demand has unitary elasticity, which is the average elasticity for all products in the economy.⁵ Third, in order to focus on the beneficial effects of competition for consumers, I assume that there is an absence of regulation that either raises or depresses prices. Finally, I assume that the parties have equal costs and engage in Cournot competition, which is a moderate and widely used specification of the intensity of competition among wireless providers.

13. With these assumptions, the eventual effect on consumer surplus of increasing the number of competitors in a market from two to four would be a fifty percent (50%) increase in the volume of wireless calling, a thirty three percent (33%) reduction in the prices of wireless services, and an increase in consumer surplus of approximately \$2.7 billion per year. Delaying the day when the new entry first occurs amounts to delaying the time at which consumers first begin enjoying this enormous benefit.

⁴*The Wireless Communications Industry*, Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette, Winter 1994-1995.

⁵In an affidavit to the Commission dated September 14, 1994, Professor Jerry Hausman estimated the price-elasticity of demand to be -0.402 with a standard error of .155. As the customer base for wireless services expands, demand may become more elastic. Since more elastic demand leads to lower estimates of the additional consumer surplus from increased competition, I have used such an estimate here.

14. The identified benefit would be enjoyed only after a delay of several years during which the new PCS providers captured significant market share and the existing providers responded to the new competition by reducing their prices. Depending on one's estimate of the rate of market penetration by the new services, some level of discounting may be appropriate. In the past decade, however, the growth rate of the demand for wireless services has far outstripped any estimate of consumer discount rates, and the reports cited earlier forecast that such growth will continue. Allowing for both growth and discounting could lead to an even larger estimate of the gain of consumer surplus.

15. A final calculation involves the total gain in consumer plus producer surplus resulting from increased competition. This consists of the increase in consumer surplus, plus the profits of the PCS providers, minus the very substantial reduction in profits of the cellular service providers--what economists call the "Harberger triangle." The computation has been done using the same Cournot model described above, in which the number of competitors is increased from two to four. The total gain in producer plus consumer surplus from the increase in competition is then \$567 million per year, or more than \$47 million per month.

16. Point estimates of the gain in consumer surplus resulting from increased competition at an earlier date are necessarily uncertain. Nevertheless, as the two estimates provided above indicate, this market is so large and so concentrated that any reasonable estimate will forecast huge benefits for consumers and for the whole economy from the introduction of competing PCS services. Delays in issuing the licenses are costly because they postpone the day when those benefits can be enjoyed.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May 12, 1995.


Paul R. Milgrom

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kathleen Joseph, hereby certify that on this 10th day of August 1995, copies of the foregoing Opposition to the Application for Review of The National Association of Black Owned Broadcasters, Percy E. Sutton, and the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People Washington Bureau, attachments, and Certificate of Service were caused to be served by hand delivery or first-class mail, postage prepaid, upon the parties listed below.

Chairman Reed Hundt
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814
Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner James H. Quello
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 802
Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Andrew C. Barrett
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844
Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Susan Ness
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832
Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Rachelle Chong
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844
Washington, D.C. 20554

Ruth Milkman, Legal Advisor
Office of Comm. Reed Hundt
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W. 814
Washington, D.C. 20554

Karen Brinkmann, Special Ass't.
Office of Comm. Reed Hundt
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814
Washington, D.C. 20554

Lauren J. Belvin, Legal Advisor
Office of Commissioner Quello
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 802
Washington, D.C. 20554

Keith Townsend, Legal Advisor
Office of Commissioner Barrett
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 826
Washington, D.C. 20554

Richard K. Welch, Legal Advisor
Office of Commissioner Chong
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844
Washington, D.C. 20554

Jane E. Mago, Senior Advisor
Office of Commissioner Chong
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W. 844
Washington, D.C. 20554

Jill M. Lockett, Special Advisor
Office of Commissioner Chong
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844
Washington, D.C. 20554

William E. Kennard
General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 614
Washington, D.C. 20554

Christopher Wright, Esq.
Office of General Counsel
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 614
Washington, D.C. 20554

Jonathan Cohen
Office of Plans and Policy
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 822
Washington, D.C. 20554

Catherine Sandoval
Office of Communications
Business Opportunities
2033 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mary P. McManus, Legal Advisor
Office of Commissioner Ness
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832
Washington, D.C. 20554

David R. Siddall, Legal Advisor
Office of Commissioner Ness
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832
Washington, D.C. 20554

Kathleen Wallman, Chief
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 500
Washington, D.C. 20554

A. Richard Metzger
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 500
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. Donald Gips
Office of Plans and Policy
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 822
Washington, D.C. 20554

International Transcription
Services
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 246
Washington, D.C. 20554

Robert Pepper, Chief
Office of Plans and Policy
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 822
Washington, D.C. 20554

Michael Katz
Office of Plans and Policy
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 822
Washington, D.C. 20554

Kathleen Levitz
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 500
Washington, D.C. 20554

Ralph A. Haller
Wireless Telecommunications
Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 5002
Washington, D.C. 20554

Gerald P. Vaughn
Wireless Telecommunications
Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 5002
Washington, D.C. 20554

Regina Keeney, Chief
John Greenspan
Wireless Telecommunications
Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 5002
Washington, D.C. 20554

James L. Winston, Esq.
Rubin, Winston, Diercks,
Harris & Cooke
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20036

Lois E. Wright, Esq.
VP and Corporate Counsel
Inner City Broadcasting Corp.
Three Park Avenue
40th Floor
New York, New York 10014

Wade Henderson
Director
National Association for the
Advancement of Colored People
1025 Vermont Avenue, N.W.
suite 1120
Washington, D.C. 20005

Jonathan D. Blake, Esq.
Kurt A. Wimmer, Esq.
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20044

William J. Franklin, Esq.
William J. Franklin, Chartered
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20006

Philip L. Verveer
Jennfer A. Donaldson
Willkie, Farr & Gallagher
Three Lafayette Center
1155 21st Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036

Kenneth R. Cole
Vice President
Century Telephone Enterprises,
Inc.
100 Century Park Drive
Monroe, LA 71203

Ellen S. Deutsch
Jacqueline R. Kinney
Citizens Utilities Company
P.O. Box 340
8920 Emerald Park Drive, Suite C
Elk Grove, CA 95759-0340

John A. Malloy, Esq.
Jill M. Foehrkolb
Columbia PCS
201 N. Union Street, Suite 410
Alexandria, VA 22314

Joe D. Edge, Esq.
Mark F. Dever, Esq.
Drinker, Biddle & Reath
901 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20005

Stephen G. Kraskin, Esq.
Sylvia Lesse, Esq.
Kraskin & Associates
2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 520
Washington, D.C. 20037

Gail L. Polivy
GTE Corp.
1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20036

Thomas A. Karl
President
Karl Brothers, Inc.
P.O. Box 53040
Fairbanks, AK 99711

Kathleen Q. Abernathy
PCS PrimeCo., L.P.
c/o Airtouch Comm., Inc.
1818 N Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036

PhillieCo. L.P.
9221 Ward Parkway
Kansas City, MO 64114

Poka Lambro Telephone
Cooperative, Inc.
11.5 Miles North of Tahoka, TX
on U.S. 87
P.O. Box 1340
Tahoka, TX 79373-7234

PowerTel PCS Partners, L.P.
421 Gilmer Avenue
P.O. Box 657
Lanett, AL 36863

South Seas Satellite
Communications
c/o 25 Stonington Road
South Laguna, CA 92677

Steve Portnoy
Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems
17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A
Dallas, TX 75252

John Stanton
Western PCS Corporation
330 120th Avenue, N.E., Ste. 200
Bellevue, WA 98005

WirelessCo., L.P.
9221 Ward Parkway
Kansas City, MO 64114

Larry Irving
National Telecommunications and
Information Administration
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20230

Daryl L. Avery
Public Service Commission of the
District of Columbia
450 Fifth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Peter J. Mitchell
Minority Business Enterprise
Legal Defense & Educ. Fund
Suite 280
220 I Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20002

Veronica M. Ahern
Nixon, Hargrave, Devans & Doyle
Suite 700
One Thomas Circle
Washington, D.C. 20005

Joseph Profit, Sr.
Chairman
Communications International
Wireless Corporation
NAMTEC
521 Fifth Avenue
Suite 800
New York, NY 10017

American Portable
Telecommunications, Inc.
Attn: Rudolph H. Hornacek
30 North LaSalle Street
Suite 4000
Chicago, IL 60602

Ameritech Wireless
Communications Inc.
Attn: Frank Panek
2000 W. Ameritech Center Drive
4H84
Hoffman Estates, IL 60196

AT&T Wireless PCS, Inc.
Attn: Cathleen A. Massey
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
4th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

William B. Barfield
Jim O. Llewellyn
1155 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Centennial Cellular Corporation
c/o Richard Rubin
Fleischman & Walsh, L.L.P.
1400 16th Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036

John D. Pellegrin
Robert E. Kelly
John D. Pellegrin, Chartered
Communications International
Corporation
1140 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 606
Washington, D.C. 20036

Cox Communications, Inc.
Attn: Richard Kimsey
1400 Lake Hearn Drive, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30319

Kathy L. Shobert
Director of Federal Affairs
GCI Communications Corporation
901 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20005

GTE Macro Communications
Corporation
Attn: Regulatory
245 Perimeter Center Parkway
Atlanta, GA 30346

Thomas J. Casey, Esquire
Jay L. Birnbaum, Esquire
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher &
Flom
1440 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-2111

Joseph A. Belisle, Esquire
Karsten Amlie, Esquire
Leibowitz and Associates, PEA.
One South East Third Avenue
Suite 1450
Miami, FL 33131

Cathleen A. Massey
Senior Regulatory Counsel
McCaw Cellular Communications,
Inc.
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Fourth Floor
Washington, D.C. 20554

W. Chris Blane
President
Metrex Communications Group,
Inc.
Five Concourse Parkway
Suite 3100
Atlanta, GA 30328

Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr., Esq.
John A. Prendergast, Esq.
Susan J. Bahr, Esq.
Booston, Mordkofsky, Jackson &
Dickens
2120 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Henry Solomon, Esquire
Amelia Brown, Esquire
Haley, Bader & Potts
Suite 900, 4350 N. Fairfax Drive
Arlington, VA 22203-1633

Mark J. Tauber, Esquire
Mark J. O'Connor, Esquire
Piper & Marbury
1200 19th Street, N.W.
Seventh Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

David L. Nace, Esquire
Marci E. Greenstein, Esquire
Lukas, McGowan, Nace &
Gutierrez, Chartered
1111 Nineteenth Street, N.W.,
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20036

Patricia Diaz Dennis, Esquire
Sullivan & Cromwell
1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Robert H. Kyle
President
Kycom, Inc.
96 Hillbrook Drive
Portola Valley, CA 94028

Doris S. Freedman, Esquire
Barry Pineles, Esquire
Office of Advocacy
United States Small Business
Administration
409 3rd Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Robert E. Levine, Esquire
Latrice Kirkland, Esquire
Mullin, Rhyne, Emmons and Topel
1225 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20036

Paul C. Besozzi, Esquire
Besozzi, Gavin & Craven
1901 L Street, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mary McDermott
United States Telephone
Association
1401 H Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005

George Y. Wheeler, Esquire
Koteen & Naftalin
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mark J. Golden, CAE
Vice President - Industry
Affairs
Personal Communications Industry
Association
1019 Nineteenth Street, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036-5105

Daniel C. Riker
President & CEO
DCR Communications, Inc.
2550 M Street, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20007

Encompass, Inc.
Two Ravinia Drive, Suite 1205
Atlanta, GA 30346

Thomas A. Hart, Jr., Esquire
Michael Henningburg, Jr., Esquire
McManimon & Scotland
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20004

Curtis White
Allied Communications Group,
Inc.
4201 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 402
Washington, D.C. 20008-1158

William D. Jimerson
Alliance Telecom, Inc.
156 Ames Street
Rochester, NY 14611

Melodie A. Virtue
American Women in Radio and
Television, Inc.
Haley, Bader & Potts
4350 N. Fairfax Drive
Suite Number 900
Arlington, VA 22203-1633

Timothy E. Welch, Esquire
Hill & Welch
Suite 113
1330 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Werner K. Hartenberger
Laura H. Phillips
Richard S. Denning
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1255 23rd Street, N.W., Ste. 500
Washington, D.C. 20037

John A. Prendergast
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson
& Dickens
2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007

Charles P. Featherstun
David G. Richards
BellSouth Corporation
1133 21st Street, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20036

R. Michael Senkowski
Katherine M. Holden
Eric W. DeSilva
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

W. Richard Morris
2330 Shawnee Mission Parkway
Westwood, KS 66205

Cheryl A. Tritt
Joan E. Neal
Morrison & Foerster
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 5500
Washington, D.C. 20006

Joel S. Winnik
Marvin J. Diamond
Julie T. Barton
Hogan & Hartson, L.L.P.
555 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-1109

Michael F. Morrone
Michael R. Bennett
Keller and Heckman
1001 G Street, N.W.
Suite 500 West
Washington, D.C. 20001

Wayne Watts, Esq.
Carol Tacker, Esq.
Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems
17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A
Dallas, TX 75252

Louis Gurman
Doane F. Kiechel
Gurman, Blask & Freedman
1400 16th Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036


Kathleen Joseph